
From: Drysdale, Donald@DOC [<mailto:Donald.L.Drysdale@conservation.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2015 12:30 PM
To: Baker, David
Subject: FW: a couple of data questions from the San Francisco Chronicle
Importance: High

David:

Reponses on your two follow-up questions during the interview with Steve Bohlen yesterday.

Regards,

Don

1. The list of 532 wells was queried from the database last August. The list of 108 wells injecting into the 11 aquifers was queried more recently. Of those 108 wells, 94 are on the 532 list. The 14 wells not in that list are either:

a. Injecting or proposed to inject into non-USDWs (TDS >10,000 based on latest information), hence the question of exemption is moot.

b. Not disposal wells – the 532 list were all disposal

wells. The 108 list included enhanced oil recovery wells also.

c. Permits held in abeyance or cancelled. Some well statuses have not been updated yet, which is why these still turned up in the recent query of 108 wells.

2. DOGGR submitted an initial list of 147 wells injecting into sub-3,000 TDS aquifers to the Water Board.